arXiv:1612.03335v1 [math.AT] 10 Dec 2016

On the Capacity and Depth of Compact Surfaces

Mahboubeh Abbasi[1], Behrooz Mashayekhy[1,*]

Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran.

Abstract

K. Borsuk in 1979, in the Topological Conference in Moscow, introduced the concept of capacity and depth of a compactum. In this paper, we compute the capacity and depth of compact surfaces. We show that the capacity and depth of every compact orientable surface of genus $g \geq 0$ is equal to $g + 2$. Also, we prove that the capacity and depth of a compact non-orientable surface of genus $g > 0$ is $[\frac{g}{2}] + 2$.

Keywords: Capacity, Compact surface, Homotopy domination, Homotopy type, Eilenberg-MacLane space, Polyhedron, CW-complex, Compactum.
2010 MSC: 55P55, 55P15, 55P20.

1. Introduction

K. Borsuk in [3], introduced the concept of capacity and depth of a compactum (compact metric space) as follows: the capacity $C(A)$ of a compactum A is the cardinality of the set of all shapes of compacta X for which $\mathcal{S}h(X) \leqslant \mathcal{S}h(A)$. A system $\mathcal{S}h(X_1) < \mathcal{S}h(X_2) < \cdots < \mathcal{S}h(X_k) \leqslant \mathcal{S}h(A)$ is called a chain of length k for compactum A. The depth $D(A)$ of a compactum A is the least upper bound of the lengths of all chains for A. It is clear that $D(A) \leq C(A)$ for each compactum A.

In the case polyhedra, the notions shape and shape domination in the above definitions can be replaced by the notions homotopy type and homotopy domination, respectively. Indeed, by some known results in shape theory one can conclude that for any polyhedron P, there is a 1-1 functorial correspondence between the shapes of compacta shape dominated by P and the homotopy types of CW-complexes (not

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: mahboubeh.abbasi@gmail.com (Mahboubeh Abbasi), bmashf@um.ac.ir (Behrooz Mashayekhy)

necessarily finite) homotopy dominated by P (in both pointed and unpointed cases) [9].

S. Mather in [16] proved that every polyhedron dominates only countably many of different homotopy types (hence shapes). Since the capacity of a topological space is a homotopy invariant, i.e., if topological spaces X and Y have the same homotopy type, then $C(X) = C(Y)$, it is interesting to know that which topological spaces have finite capacity and compute the exact capacity of them. Borsuk in [3] asked a question: " Is it true that the capacity of every finite polyhedron is finite? ". D. Kolodziejczyk in [13] gave a negative answer to this question. Also, in [8] she proved that there exist polyhedra with infinite capacity and finite depth. Moreover, she investigated some conditions for polyhedra to have finite capacity ([9, 10, 12]). For instance, polyhedra with finite fundamental groups and polyhdera P with abelian fundamental groups $\pi_1(P)$ and finitely generated homology groups $H_i(\tilde{P})$, for $i \geq 2$, have finite capacity. Also, in [11] she proved that polyhedra with virtually polycyclic fundamental group have finite depth.

Borsuk in [3] mentioned that the capacity of $\bigvee_k \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\mathbb{S}^n$ are equal to $k+1$ and 2, respectively. Also, M. Mohareri et al. in [17] computed the exact capacity of the Moore space $M(A,n)$ and the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(G,n)$ (in finite or infinite cases). In fact, they showed that the capacity of a Moore space $M(A,n)$ and an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(G,n)$ are equal to the number of semidirect factors of A and G, respectively, up to isomorphism. Also, they computed the capacity of the wedge sum of finitely many Moore spaces of different degrees and the capacity of the product of finitely many Eilenberg-MacLane spaces of different homotopy types. In particular, they showed that the capacity of $\bigvee_{n\in I}(\vee_{i_n}\mathbb{S}^n)$ is $\prod_{n\in I}(i_n+1)$ where $\vee_{i_n}\mathbb{S}^n$ denotes the wedge sum of i_n copies of $\mathbb{S}^n$, I is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and $i_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this paper, we compute capacity and depth of compact surfaces. In fact, we show that the capacity and depth of every compact orientable surface of genus $g \geq 0$ is equal to $g+2$. Also, we prove that the capacity and depth of a compact non-orientable surface of genus $g > 0$ is $[\frac{g}{2}] + 2$.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper every topological space is assumed to be connected. We expect that the reader is familiar with the basic notions and facts of shape theory (see [5] and [14]) and retract theory (see [4]). We need the following results and definitions for the rest of the paper.

Definition 2.1. *[6]. A topological space X having just one nontrivial homotopy group $\pi_n(X) \cong G$ is called an* Eilenberg-MacLane *space and is denoted by $K(G,n)$.*

The full subcategory of the category hTop consisting of spaces $K(G,n)$ with $G \in \mathbf{Gp}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{K}^n$.

Theorem 2.2. *[6]. The homotopy type of a CW complex $K(G,n)$ is uniquely determined by G and n.*

Theorem 2.3. *[6]. The homology groups of $K(\mathbb{Z}_n,1)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for odd n and zero for even $n>0$.*

Theorem 2.4. *[1]. 1) A connected CW-space X is contractible if and only if all its homotopy groups $\pi_n(X)$ $(n \geq 1)$ are trivial.*

2) A simply connected CW-space X is contractible if and only if all its homology groups $H_n(X)$ $(n \geq 2)$ are trivial.

Definition 2.5. *[20]. Let $\phi : K \longrightarrow X$ be a cellular map between CW-complexes with mapping cylinder $M = X \bigcup_{\phi}(K \times I)$. We denote $\pi_n(M, K \times \{1\})$ by $\pi_n(\phi)$. The map ϕ is called n-connected if K and X are connected and $\pi_i(\phi) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Theorem 2.6. *[15, Theorem 7.2]. Any compact, orientable surface is homeomorphic to a sphere or a connected sum of tori. Any compact, non-orientable surface is homeomorphic to the connected sum of either a real projective plane or the Klein bottle and a compact, orientable surface.*

Lemma 2.7. *[6, Example 1B.2]. Every (orientable or non-orientable) surface of genus $g>1$, is an Eilenberg-MacLane space.*

Recall that the genus of connected sum of n tori or connected sum of n real projective planes is n.

Definition 2.8. *[9]. A homomorphism $g : G \longrightarrow H$ of groups is an* r-homomorphism *if there exists a homomorphism $f : H \longrightarrow G$ such that $g \circ f = id_H$. In this case H is called an r-image of G.*

In particular, let G be a group with a subgroup H. Then H is called a *retract* of G if there exists a homomorphism $r : G \longrightarrow H$ such that $r \circ i = id_H$ where $i : H \longrightarrow G$ is the inclusion homomorphism.

Note that if a group H is an r-image of G with an r-homomorphism g and the converse homomorphism f, then $H \cong f(H)$ and $f(H)$ is a retract of G.

3. The Capacity of Compact Surfaces

Borsuk in [3] mentioned that the capacity of $\bigvee_k \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\mathbb{S}^n$ are equal to $k+1$ and 2, respectively. Also, M. Mohareri et al. in [17] showed that the capacity of a Moore space $M(A,n)$ and an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(G,n)$ are equal to the number of semidirect factors of A and G, respectively, up to isomorphism. In particular, they proved that the capacity of $\bigvee_{n\in I}(\vee_{i_n}\mathbb{S}^n)$ is $\prod_{n\in I}(i_n+1)$ where $\vee_{i_n}\mathbb{S}^n$ denotes the wedge sum of i_n copies of $\mathbb{S}^n$, I is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and $i_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this section, we compute the capacity of compact (orientable or non-orientable) surfaces.

Definition 3.1. *[20]. Let X be a connected CW-complex. The conditions $\mathcal{F}_i$ and $\mathcal{D}_i$ on X are defined as following:*

$\mathcal{F}_1$: the group $\pi_1(X)$ is finitely generated.

$\mathcal{F}_2$: the group $\pi_1(X)$ is finitely presented, and for any 2-dimensional finite CW-complex K and any map $\phi : K \longrightarrow X$ inducing an isomorphism of fundamental groups, $\pi_2(\phi)$is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X)$.

$\mathcal{F}_n$: condition $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ holds, and for any $(n-1)$-dimensional finite CW-complex K and any $(n-1)$-connected map $\phi : K \longrightarrow X$, $\pi_n(\phi)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X)$-module.

$\mathcal{D}_n$: $H_i(\tilde{X}) = 0$ for $i > n$, and $H^{n+1}(X;\mathcal{B}) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles $\mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.2. *[20, Theorem F]. The CW-complex X is dominated by a finite CW-complex of dimension n if and only if X satisfies $\mathcal{D}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$.*

Lemma 3.3. *[20, Proposition 3.3]. If CW-complex X satisfies $\mathcal{D}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ and $\pi_1(X)$ is free, then X has the homotopy type of a finite bouquet of 1-spheres and 2-spheres.*

Lemma 3.4. *[2]. Let X be a topological space which is homotopy dominated by a closed (compact without boundary) connected topological n-dimensional manifold M. If $H^n(X;\mathbb{Z}_2) \neq 0$, then X has the homotopy type of M.*

Definition 3.5. *[21]. The group G is called a surface group if $G \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{S})$ for a closed (compact without boundary) surface $\mathcal{S}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{S}) < 0$ where $\chi(\mathcal{S})$ is the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{S}$.*

Recall that the Euler Characteristic of connected sum of n tori and connected sum of n real projective planes are $2-2n$ and $2-n$, respectively.

Lemma 3.6. *[21, Lemma 4.5]. Let G be a surface group. If K is any proper retract of G, then K is a free group with rank $K \leq \frac{1}{2}$ rank G where rank G is the minimal number of generators of G.*

Theorem 3.7. *The capacity of connected sum of n tori is equal to $n+2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is connected sum of n tori. First, let $n=1$. By [17, Proposition 4.6], the capacity of a torus is 3. Now, let $n>1$. Then $\chi(\mathcal{S})<0$. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is homotopy dominated by $\mathcal{S}$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $H_2(\mathcal{A})=0$. Otherwise, if $H_2(\mathcal{A})\cong\mathbb{Z}$, then $H^2(\mathcal{A};\mathbb{Z}_2)=Hom(H_2(\mathcal{A});\mathbb{Z}_2)\neq 0$ and so by Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{A}$ has the same homotopy type to $\mathcal{S}$.

Put $G=\pi_1(\mathcal{S})$. We know that G is a finitely presented group with $2n$ generators and one relation (see [15]) and that $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to a retract of G. We have two following cases:

Case One. $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to a proper retract of G. It is clear that G is a surface group. Using Lemma 3.6, $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a free group with $rank\ \pi_1(\mathcal{A})\leq \frac{1}{2}\ rank\ G=n$. Now by Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies conditions $\mathcal{D}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ since $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite 2-dimensional CW-complex. Therefore by Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{A}$ has the homotopy type of a bouquet of t copies of 1-spheres since $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a free group of rank $0\leq t\leq n$ (note that $H_2(\mathcal{A})=0$). Hence, $\mathcal{A}$ has the form as one of following spaces:

$$*,\ \mathbb{S}^1,\ \mathbb{S}^1\vee\mathbb{S}^1,\cdots,\ \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{n-folds}.$$

On the other hand, it is obvious that $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{n-folds}$ is a retract of $\mathcal{S}$. So, each of spaces above is a retract of $\mathcal{S}$.

Case Two. $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})\cong G$. By Lemma 2.7, $\mathcal{S}$ (and also $\mathcal{A}$) is an Elenberg-MacLance space. Then by Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ have the same homotopy type. □

Theorem 3.8. *The capacity of the real projective plane is equal to 2.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is homotopy dominated by $\mathbb{RP}^2$. Then $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})\cong\leqslant\pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^2)$ and so $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})=1$ or $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})\cong\mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})=1$. Since $H_i(\mathcal{A})=0$ for $i\geq 2$, $\mathcal{A}$ is contractible by Theorem 2.4.(2). Now, let $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})\cong\mathbb{Z}_2$. Suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the universal covering of $\mathcal{A}$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is homotopy dominated by $\mathbb{S}^2$. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ has the same homotopy type with either a one-point space or $\mathbb{S}^2$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ has the same homotopy type with a one-point space, then $\pi_i(\mathcal{A})=0$ for $i\geq 2$ because $\pi_i(\mathcal{A})\cong\pi_i(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ for $i\geq 2$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}$ is an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}_2,1)$. But this contradicts to Theorem 2.3. Hence, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ has the same homotopy type with $\mathbb{S}^2$. Since $H_i(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})\cong H_i(\mathbb{S}^2)$ for $i\geq 2$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})\cong\pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^2)$, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{RP}^2$ have the same homotopy type by Whitehead Theorem (see [7, Theorem 3.1, p.107]). □

Remark 3.9. *[19]. Let $G=H\ltimes_\varphi U$ be the semidirect product of U and H with respect to $\varphi: H\to \mathrm{Aut}(U)$. For a subgroup Γ of G, put $U_\Gamma=\Gamma\cap U$ and $\Gamma_H=$*

$\{h \in H \mid (u,h) \in \Gamma \text{ for some } u \in U\}$. *Group monomorphisms* $\rho : R \longrightarrow H$ *and* $\lambda : L \longrightarrow U$ *are called a* $\varphi_U^H -$*pair of groups if there exists a short exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \to L \xrightarrow{\alpha} T \xrightarrow{\beta} R \to 1$$

with a monomorphism $\mu : T \longrightarrow G$ *such that the following diagram commutes*



We denote a $\varphi_U^H -$*pair of groups by* $\langle L^\lambda, R^\rho, \varphi_U^H \rangle$. *In 1991, Usenko [19] proved that each* $\varphi_U^H -$*pair of groups determines a subgroup of the semidirect product* $G = H \ltimes_\varphi U$. *On the other hand, each subgroup* $\Gamma \leqslant G$ *determines some* $\varphi_U^H -$*pair of groups* $\langle U_\Gamma^i, \Gamma_H^j, \varphi_U^H \rangle$, *where* $i : U_\Gamma \longrightarrow U$ *and* $j : \Gamma_H \longrightarrow H$ *are embeddings.*

As a consequence, suppose $G = \mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\Gamma \leqslant G$. *Since* U_Γ *(and also* Γ_H*) is trivial or* $\mathbb{Z}$, *up to isomorphism, by the above argument* $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_H \ltimes U_\Gamma$. *Hence isomorphism classes of subgroups of* G *are trivial,* $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 3.10. *The capacity of the Klein bottle is equal to 3.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{K}$ is the Klein bottle. We know that $\pi_1(\mathcal{K})$ has a presentation as $\langle x, y \mid yxy^{-1} = x^{-1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$ (see [15]). By Remark 3.9, subgroups of $\pi_1(\mathcal{K})$ are trivial, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$, up to isomorphism. Then the capacity of $\mathcal{K}$ is 3 (with retracts $*$, $\mathbb{S}^1$ and $\mathcal{K}$). Note that $\mathcal{A}$ is not homotopy dominated by $\mathcal{K}$ if $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. □

Recall that by the classification theorem for compact surfaces, any compact orientable surface is homeomorphic to a sphere, or to the connected sum of n tori, and any compact non-orientable surface is homeomorphic to the connected sum of n real projective planes. Hence by Theorem 2.6, we have following lemmas:

Lemma 3.11. *Let* $\mathcal{S}$ *be a connected sum of* m *tori and a real projective plane. Then* $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ *is a retract of* $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Suppose that $q : \underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2 \# \cdots \# \mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds} \# \mathbb{RP}^2 \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2 \# \cdots \# \mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds} \vee \mathbb{RP}^2$ is the quotient map. It is obvious that $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ is a retract of $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2 \# \cdots \# \mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}$. Also, it is easy to

see that $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}$ is a retract of $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\vee\mathbb{RP}^2$. So, $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ is a retract of $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\vee\mathbb{RP}^2$. Hence, $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ is a retract of $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\#\mathbb{RP}^2$ since $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ is invariant under quotient map q (see [18, p.374]). □

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be connected sum of m tori and a Klein bottle. Then $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{(m+1)-folds}$ is a retract of $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $q:\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\#\mathcal{K}\longrightarrow\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\vee\mathcal{K}$ is the quotient map. It is obvious that $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ is a retract of $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}$ and $\mathbb{S}^1$ is a retract of $\mathcal{K}$ (by Theorem 3.10). Suppose that $r_1:\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\longrightarrow\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ and $r_2:\mathcal{K}\longrightarrow\mathbb{S}^1$ are corresponding retractions.

Now, we define map $r:\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\vee\mathcal{K}\longrightarrow\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{(m+1)-folds}$ as follows:

$$r(x)=\begin{cases}r_1(x) & q(x)\in\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\\ r_2(x) & q(x)\in\mathcal{K}.\end{cases}$$

Let C be the common circle of connected sum of $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}$ and $\mathcal{K}$. For all $x\in C$, we have $r_i(q(x))=r_i(*)=*$ where $i=1,2$ and $*$ is the common point of the wedge sum. It is obvious that r is continuous. It is enough to show that r is a retraction. If $x\in\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{(m)-folds}\ (\subseteq\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds})$, then $r(x)=r_1(x)=x$ and if $x\in\mathbb{S}^1\ (\subseteq\mathcal{K})$, then $r(x)=r_2(x)=x$. Hence, $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{(m+1)-folds}$ is a retract of $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\vee\mathcal{K}$. Now, one can obtain $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{(m+1)-folds}$ is a retract of $\underbrace{\mathbb{T}^2\#\cdots\#\mathbb{T}^2}_{m-folds}\#\mathcal{K}$ since $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\vee\cdots\vee\mathbb{S}^1}_{(m+1)-folds}$ is invariant under quotient map q. □

Theorem 3.13. *The capacity of connected sum of n real projective planes is equal to $[\frac{n}{2}]+2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is the connected sum of n real projective planes and $\mathcal{A}$ is homotopy dominated by $\mathcal{S}$.

First, let $n = 1$. By Theorem 3.8, the capacity of a real projective plane is 2. Next, let $n = 2$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}$ is a Klein bottle and so by Theorem 3.10, the capacity of $\mathcal{S}$ is 3. Now, suppose that $n > 2$. Then, $\chi(\mathcal{S}) < 0$. Put $G = \pi_1(\mathcal{S})$. We know that G is a finitely presented group with n generators and one relation (see [15]). We have two following cases:

Case One. Let $n = 2m + 1$. Then, $\mathcal{S}$ is homeomorphic to connected sum of a real projective plane and m tori. It is obvious that $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to a retract of G and G is a surface group. If $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to a proper retract of G, then by Lemma 3.6, $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a free group with $rank\ \pi_1(\mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\ rank\ G = \frac{n}{2}$. Now, by Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies conditions $\mathcal{D}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ since $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite 2-dimensional CW-complex. Therefore by Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{A}$ has the homotopy type of bouquet of t copies of 1-spheres since $\pi_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a free group of rank $0 \leq t \leq [\frac{n}{2}] = m$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}$ has the form as one of following spaces:

$$*,\ \mathbb{S}^1,\ \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1, \cdots,\ \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.11, $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ is a retract of $\mathcal{S}$. So, each of spaces above can be a retract of $\mathcal{S}$.

Now, if $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}) \cong G$, then by Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ have the same homotopy type since by Lemma 2.7, $\mathcal{S}$ (and also $\mathcal{A}$) is an Eilenberg-MacLance space. Hence, the capacity of $\mathcal{S}$ is equal to $m + 2 = [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$.

Case Two. Let $n = 2m$. Then, $\mathcal{S}$ is homeomorphic to connected sum of a Klein bottle and $m - 1$ tori. By a similar argument to the case one, $\mathcal{A}$ has the form as one of following spaces:

$$*,\ \mathbb{S}^1,\ \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1, \cdots,\ \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}, \mathcal{S}.$$

By Lemma 3.12, $\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{S}^1}_{m-folds}$ is a retract of $\mathcal{S}$. Thus each of the above spaces can be a retract of $\mathcal{S}$. Hence, the capacity of $\mathcal{S}$ is equal to $m + 2 = [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$. □

Using Theorems 3.7 and 3.13 the following result can be easily concluded.

Corollary 3.14. *The depth of orientable compact surfaces of genus $g \geq 0$ is $g + 2$. Moreover the depth of non-orientable compact surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$ is $[\frac{g}{2}] + 2$.*

References

[1] H.J. Baues, Homotopy Type and Homology, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 1996.

[2] I. Berstein and T. Ganea, Remark on spaces dominated by manifolds, Fund. Math. 47, (1959), 45-56.

[3] K. Borsuk, Some problems in the theory of shape of compacta, Russian Math. Surveys 34 (1979), no. 6, 24-26.

[4] K. Borsuk, Theory of Retracts, Monografie Matematyczne, vol. 44, PWN, Warsaw, 1967.

[5] K. Borsuk, Theory of Shape, Monograf. Mat. 59, PWN-Polish Scientific Publ., Warszawa,1975.

[6] A. Hatcher, Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[7] P. Hilton, An Introduction to Homotopy Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1961.

[8] D. Kolodziejczyk, Homotopy dominations within polyhedra, Fund. Math. 178 (2003) 189-202.

[9] D. Kolodziejczyk, Polyhedra dominating finitely many different homotopy types, Topology Appl. 146-147 (2005), 583-592.

[10] D. Kolodziejczyk, Polyhedra with finite fundamental group dominate only finitely many different homotopy types, Fund. Math. 180 (2003) 1-9.

[11] D. Kolodziejczyk, Polyhedra with virtually polycyclic fundamental group have finite depth, Fund. Math. 197 (2007) 229-238.

[12] D. Kolodziejczyk, Simply-connected polyhedra dominate only finitely many different shapes, Topology Appl. 112 (2001) 289-295.

[13] D. Kolodziejczyk, There exists a polyhedron dominating infinitely many different homotopy types, Fund. Math. 151 (1996) 39-46.

[14] S. Mardesic, J. Segal, Shape Theory. The Inverse System Approach, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 26, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

[15] W. S. Massey, A Basic Course in Algebraic Topology, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[16] M. Mather, Counting homotopy types of manifolds, Topology 4 (1965) 93-94.

[17] M. Mohareri, B. Mashayekhy and H. Mirebrahimi, On the capacity of Eilenberg-MacLane and Moore spaces, arXiv:1607.07054.

[18] J.R. Munkres, Topology, Prentice Hall, 2000.

[19] V. M. Usenko, Subgroups of semidirect products, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 43 (1991), no. 7-8, 982-988.

[20] C.T.C. Wall, Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965) 56-69.

[21] J. Wu, Q. Zhang, The group fixed by a family of endomorphisms of a surface group, arXiv:1401.2215v2.